Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications ISSN 0108-2701 Editor: George Ferguson

Bis[tris(2,2'-bipyridine- $\kappa^2 N, N'$)ruthenium(II)] hexacyanoferrate(III) chloride octahydrate

Ken Sakai, Yuichi Uchida, Takashi Kajiwara and Tasuku Ito

Copyright © International Union of Crystallography

Author(s) of this paper may load this reprint on their own web site provided that this cover page is retained. Republication of this article or its storage in electronic databases or the like is not permitted without prior permission in writing from the IUCr.

Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications ISSN 0108-2701

Bis[tris(2,2'-bipyridine- $\kappa^2 N, N'$)ruthenium(II)] hexacyanoferrate(III) chloride octahydrate

Ken Sakai,^a* Yuichi Uchida,^a Takashi Kajiwara^b and Tasuku Ito^b

^aDepartment of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Tokyo University of Science, Kagurazaka 1-3, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8601, Japan, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578, Japan

Correspondence e-mail: ksakai@rs.kagu.tus.ac.jp

Received 20 November 2003 Accepted 15 December 2003 Online 17 January 2004

In the title compound, $[Ru^{II}(C_{10}H_8N_2)_3]_2[Fe^{III}(CN)_6]Cl\cdot8H_2O$, the $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ (bpy is 2,2'-bipyridine) cations and water molecules afford intriguing microporous honeycomb layers, while the $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3-}$ anions and the remainder of the water molecules form anionic sheets based on extensive hydrogenbonding networks. The cationic and anionic layers alternate along the *c* axis. The Fe atom in $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3-}$ lies on an inversion centre and the axial cyano ligands are hydrogen bonded to the water molecules encapsulated within the micropores $[N \cdots O = 2.788 (5) \text{ Å}]$, giving an unusual interpenetration between the cationic and anionic layers. On the other hand, the in-plane cyano ligands are relatively weakly hydrogen bonded to the water molecules $[N \cdots O = 2.855 (7)$ and 2.881 (8) Å] within the anionic sheets.

Comment

We previously discovered that amidate-bridged cis-diammineplatinum(II) dimers, $[Pt_2(NH_3)_4(\mu-amidato)_2]^{2+}$ (amidate is acetamidate, α -pyrrolidinonate, α -pyridonate *etc.*), serve as efficient homogeneous catalysts in the photoreduction of water into molecular hydrogen (Sakai & Matsumoto, 1990; Sakai et al., 1993). Since then, efforts have also been made to develop 'photomolecular devices evolving molecular hydrogen from water'. With this aim, a well known photosystem consisting of $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ (bpy is 2,2'-bipyridine) and methylviologen (usually N,N'-dimethyl-4,4'-bipyridinium dichloride; Borgarello et al., 1981) has been employed to evaluate the catalytic activity of various platinum complexes. In this context, we started five years ago to explore the chemistry of double salts containing $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ and the various platinum complexes. Our aim has been to develop water-insoluble crystals involving both photosensitizers and H₂-evolving catalysts. Visible-light-induced splitting of water

into H_2 and O_2 might be promoted under dispersion of such hybrid crystals in water. As part of these studies, we report here the crystal structure of the title compound, $[Ru^{II}(bpy)_3]_2$ - $[Fe^{III}(CN)_6]CI-8H_2O$, (I).



Several crystal structures of double salts having the same composition type with different metal/halide combinations have been reported, namely $[M_1^{\text{II}}(\text{bpy})_3]_2[M_2^{\text{III}}(\text{CN})_6]X \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$ $[M_1/M_2/X = \text{Ru/Co/Cl} (\text{refcode HIGZAY}; \text{Tamura et al., 1996}),$ Os/Cr/Cl (refcode HIRDOB; Otsuka et al., 1999; corrected as HIRDOB01; Marsh & Spek, 2001), Ru/Cr/Br (HIRDUH01; Otsuka et al., 2001) and Ru/Cr/Cl (HIRFAP01; Otsuka et al., 2001), where 'refcodes' are as assigned by the November 2002 release of the Cambridge Structural Database (Allen, 2002)]. All of these structures were described in space group C2. However, it was later pointed out that the structure of HIRDOB should more properly be described in C2/c (Marsh & Spek, 2001; see also Marsh, 1995). In addition, the structures of HIGZAY, HIRDUH01 and HIRFAP01 may also be described more properly in C2/c. In this work, we initially decided to describe the structure of (I) in space group C2, in which the chloride ion and one of the water O atoms can be located at different sites without disorder, as was done for the analogous systems mentioned above. However, at the suggestion of the co-editor of this paper, the validity of spacegroup selection has been re-examined. As a result, the final reliability factors in C2/c and C2 have been confirmed to be essentially similar, suggesting that the positional and displacement parameters independently determined for the Cl and O atoms in C2 can be considered as artifacts. Thus, space group C2/c has been judged to be valid in the present case.

The asymmetric unit of (I) consists of an $[Ru^{II}(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ cation, one-half of an $[Fe^{III}(CN)_6]^{3-}$ anion, one-half of a chloride anion and four water molecules (Fig. 1). Atom Fe1 is located on an inversion centre. Atoms Cl1 and O1 are assumed to occupy the same site, each having an occupancy of 50%. Atom O2 is located on a twofold axis. Compound (I) possesses an intriguing layered structure (similar to that previously reported for HIGZAY, HIRDOB01, HIRDUH01 and HIRFAP01), in which the layers consisting of the $[Ru^{II}(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ cations and water molecules, and the layers consisting of the $[Fe^{III}(CN)_6]^{3-}$ anions and water molecules alternate along the *c* axis (Figs. 2–4). More interestingly, each cationic layer can be understood as a honeycomb layer, in which a water molecule (O2) is encapsulated within each microporous cavity (Fig. 2). An important feature is that the

layer within $0 < z < \frac{1}{2}$, shown in Fig. 2, is made up of the Δ isomers, while the layer within $\frac{1}{2} < z < 1$ only involves the Λ isomers. The structural features of the hexagons are listed in Table 1. Mean-plane calculations reveal that the hexagons containing the Ru1 centres have a planar geometry, where the r.m.s. deviation of the six atoms from the plane of the hexagon is 0.108 Å. When the cavity is viewed along the *c* axis (Fig. 2), it is considered to be elliptical (~3.8 × 2.8 Å in inner diameter, where the van der Waals components of the H atoms are suppressed by assuming a radius of 1.2 Å). The intercationic interactions are stabilized by hydrophobic interactions between the aromatic hydrocarbon moieties, where the shortest C···C distance is ~3.6 Å, and no π - π stacking interactions are observed between the byy ligands.

The $[\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$ anions and the remainder of the water molecules form two-dimensional sheets based on extensive hydrogen-bonding networks (Figs. 3 and 4, and Table 2). Interestingly, all the components, excluding the axial cyano ligands (C33 and N9), lie in a thin slab of depth 0.0310 (4) Å, one-half of which corresponds to the shift of atom O4 from the plane z = 0. Another important feature is that two neighbouring anionic sheets are connected to one another through



Figure 1

The structure of the asymmetric unit of (I), showing the atom-labelling scheme. Atoms Cl1 and O1 occupy the same site, each with 50% occupancy. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level and dashed lines denote hydrogen bonds.

hydrogen bonds formed between the cyano N atoms (N9) and the water molecules (O2) encapsulated within the micropores $[N9 \cdot \cdot O2 = 2.788 (5) \text{ Å}]$. Thus, interpenetration occurs *via* the formation of a one-dimensional hydrogen-bonding network along the *c* axis (Fig. 5).

The Fe^{III} ion adopts a nearly regular octahedral geometry, even though the in-plane Fe–C distances [Fe1–C32 = 1.937 (6) Å and Fe1–C31 = 1.942 (6) Å] are slightly shorter than the axial distance [Fe1–C33 = 1.951 (5) Å], where the Fe(CN)₄ plane is assumed to be parallel to the *ab* plane. In addition, the so-called tetragonality (T = 0.994) is close to unity. The most remarkable feature is that the hydrogen bonds



Figure 2

A view along the *c* axis within a layer defined by $0 < z < \frac{1}{2}$, showing a cationic honeycomb sheet consisting of $[Ru^{II}(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ cations and water molecules (O2). Anionic components and water molecules, shown in Fig. 3, have been omitted for clarity. H atoms have also been omitted for clarity.



Figure 3

A view along the *c* axis within a layer defined by -0.15 < z < 0.15, showing the hydrogen-bonding network consisting of $[Fe^{III}(CN)_6]^{3-}$ anions and water molecules (O1/O3–O5), leading to the formation of an anionic two-dimensional layer. Dashed lines denote hydrogen bonds. Sites where atoms Cl1 and O1 are disordered are marked with asterisks.

formed between the in-plane cyano ligands and the neighbouring water molecules $[N7 \cdots O3 = 2.855 (7) \text{ Å}$ and $N8 \cdots O4 = 2.881 (8) \text{ Å}]$ are much weaker than those for the axial cyano ligands, revealing that the in-plane Fe–C bonds possess higher flexibility toward changes in bond lengths that may be induced by the photochemical process discussed below.

It was previously reported that the ³MLCT (MLCT is metalto-ligand charge transfer) excited state of $[Ru^{II}(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ in (I) is very rapidly quenched, even at 77 K, based on electrontransfer (ET) quenching, affording $[Ru^{III}(bpy)_3]^{3+}$ and $[Fe^{II}(CN)_6]^{4-}$ (Iguro *et al.*, 1994). This outcome was attributed to the fact that the reorganization energy required for the process is small, as a result of the small number of water molecules involved in (I) (Iguro et al., 1994). On the other hand, it was previously reported that the Ru-N distances in $[Ru^{II}(bpy)_3](PF_6)_2$ [2.053 (2) Å, 105 K] are virtually indistinguishable from those in [Ru^{III}(bpy)₃](PF₆)₃ [2.057 (3) Å, 105 K; Biner et al., 1992]. Moreover, the Fe^{III}-C(CN) and Fe^{III} ...N(CN) distances observed in (I) [the Fe^{III} -C(CN) distances are given in Table 1; Fe1···N7 = 3.084 (5) Å, $Fe1 \cdots N8 = 3.089 (5) \text{ Å}$ and $Fe1 \cdots N9 = 3.094 (5) \text{ Å}$] are similar to those reported for [Fe^{II}(CN)₆]⁴⁻; for example, Fe^{II}-C(CN) = 1.922 (8)–1.935 (5) Å and $Fe^{II} \cdots N(CN) = 3.108-$ 3.125 Å for Li_4 [Fe^{II}(CN)₆]·hexamethylenetetramine·5H₂O (Meyer & Pickardt, 1988). These data show that the change in the molecular volume upon ET quenching is relatively small in both the tris(2,2'-bipyridine)ruthenium and the hexacyanoferrate ions. Consequently, it is considered that a relatively small reorganization energy is required to drive the ET-



Figure 4

The crystal packing of (I), viewed along the b axis. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.



Figure 5

A view of the one-dimensional hydrogen-bonding network formed by the alternate stacking of $[Fe^{III}(CN)_6]^{3-}$ and water molecules, giving rise to interpenetration through the honeycomb layers containing the cations. Dashed lines denote hydrogen bonds.

quenching process, regardless of whether it is undertaken in solution or in the crystal. What can be deduced from the crystal structure of (I) is that the relatively loose hydrogenbonding character of the in-plane cyano ligands may give rise to the higher flexibility in the in-plane Fe–C bond distances. The present study implies that such structural features may be relevant to the relatively rapid ET-quenching character previously reported for the title double salt (Iguro *et al.*, 1994).

Experimental

It is often believed that double salts are almost insoluble in water and that their crystals should be grown using so-called diffusion methods. Indeed, a crystalline sample of (I) was previously prepared by a diffusion method (Iguro et al., 1994). Crystals of all the double salts cited in the Comment have also been grown by diffusion methods (Tamura et al., 1996; Otsuka et al., 1999, 2001). However, we found that (I) can be recrystallized from hot water in a conventional manner as follows: [Ru(bpy)₃]Cl₂·6H₂O was prepared as previously reported (Fujita & Kobayashi, 1972), except that acetone was used instead of benzene to extract the unreacted bpy. To a solution of [Ru(bpy)₃]Cl₂·6H₂O in a minimum amount of water was added a solution of K₃[Fe(CN)₆] in a minimum amount of water. The brown precipitate was collected by filtration and recrystallized from hot water as follows: an aqueous saturated solution of (I) was prepared at 343 K and filtered while hot. Gradual cooling to room temperature resulted in the growth of good quality red-brown needles of (I). Compound (I) is stable in air at room temperature. Analysis calculated for C₆₆H₆₄ClFeN₁₈O₈: C 51.57, H 4.02, N 16.42%; found: C

51.78, H 4.21, N 16.47%. Water content determined by thermogravimetric analysis: 9.42% (calculated); 9.63% (found). The powder X-ray diffractometry of (I) (Cu $K\alpha$) displayed a pattern consistent with that simulated in TEXSAN (Molecular Structure Corporation, 2001) based on the crystal structure of (I). The temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility of (I) revealed that the effective magnetic moment gradually decreases upon cooling ($\mu_{eff} = 2.4 \ \mu_{B}$ at 300 K and $\mu_{eff} = 1.8 \mu_B$ at 2 K), and this result is indicative of a weak antiferromagnetic character of the material (J values remain undetermined). The X-ray diffraction data were collected using a single crystal that was cut from a well formed needle with a length of a few millimeters.

Crystal data

$[\operatorname{Ru}(\operatorname{C}_{10}\operatorname{H_8N}_2)_3]_2[\operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{CN})_6]\operatorname{Cl}\cdot\operatorname{8H}_2\operatorname{O} M_r = 1530.79$ Monoclinic, C2/c a = 22.2498 (17) Å b = 13.6859 (10) Å c = 22.1298 (16) Å $\beta = 90.459$ (1)° V = 6738.5 (9) Å ³ Z = 4	$D_x = 1.509 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation Cell parameters from 4404 reflections $\theta = 2.0-27.1^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.76 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 296 (2) K Needle, red-brown $0.15 \times 0.15 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Bruker SMART APEX CCD area- detector diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\begin{aligned} R_{\rm int} &= 0.055\\ \theta_{\rm max} &= 27.1^\circ\\ h &= -28 \rightarrow 28\\ k &= -14 \rightarrow 17 \end{aligned}$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{min} = 0.785, T_{max} = 0.913$ 20 872 measured reflections 7417 independent reflections	$l = -28 \rightarrow 24$ 58 standard reflections frequency: 330 min
/41/ independent reflections	intensity decay: none

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.058$ $wR(F^2) = 0.133$ S = 1.157417 reflections 435 parameters H-atom parameters constrained

4798 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0284P)^2]$ + 26.0458P] where $P = (\vec{F}_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.53 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.36 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

Atoms Cl1 and O1 were assumed to be disordered at the same site, each with 50% occupancy, where the positional and displacement parameters were constrained to be equal. All H atoms, except those

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

-			
Ru1-N6	2.049 (4)	Fe1-C32	1.937 (6)
Ru1-N2	2.051 (4)	Fe1-C31	1.942 (6)
Ru1-N3	2.062 (4)	Fe1-C33	1.951 (5)
Ru1-N4	2.063 (4)	Ru1···Ru1 ⁱ	7.3606 (10)
Ru1-N5	2.071 (4)	Ru1···Ru1 ⁱⁱ	7.8145 (6)
Ru1-N1	2.072 (4)		
N2-Ru1-N1	78.43 (17)	N3-Ru1-N1	92.97 (16)
N3-Ru1-N4	78.84 (16)	N5-Ru1-N1	95.65 (17)
N6-Ru1-N5	78.90 (17)	C32-Fe1-C33	91.1 (2)
N6-Ru1-N3	95.84 (17)	C32-Fe1-C31	89.2 (2)
N2-Ru1-N3	92.34 (17)	C31-Fe1-C33	89.9 (2)
N6-Ru1-N4	92.49 (17)	C32-Fe1-C31 ⁱⁱⁱ	90.8 (2)
N2-Ru1-N4	95.31 (17)	C32-Fe1-C33 ⁱⁱⁱ	88.9 (2)
N2-Ru1-N5	93.88 (17)	C31-Fe1-C33 ⁱⁱⁱ	90.1 (2)
N4-Ru1-N5	93.08 (16)	Ru1 ⁱ -Ru1-Ru1 ⁱⁱ	118.757 (6)
N6-Ru1-N1	94.79 (17)	$Ru1^{iv}-Ru1-Ru1^{ii}$	122.251 (12)
Emmanature and an (i)	1	1 1 3 (:::)	

Symmetry codes: (i) $1 - x, y, \frac{3}{2} - z$; (ii) $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{3}{2} - z$; (iii) -x, -y, 2 - z; (iv) $\frac{1}{2} - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} - z.$

Table 2

Selected interatomic distances (Å).

Cl1···O4	2.904 (6)	N7···O3	2.855 (7)
Cl1···O5	2.986 (7)	$N8 \cdot \cdot \cdot O4$	2.881 (8)
O1···O4	2.904 (6)	$O3 \cdot \cdot \cdot O3^v$	2.922 (12)
O1···O5	2.986 (7)	$O5 \cdot \cdot \cdot N8^{vi}$	3.265 (9)
N9···O2	2.788 (5)		.,

Symmetry codes: (v) $\frac{1}{2} - x$, $\frac{1}{2} - y$, 2 - z; (vi) $\frac{1}{2} - x$, $-\frac{1}{2} - y$, 2 - z.

of the water molecules, were placed in idealized positions (C-H = 0.93 Å) and included in the refinement as riding, with $U_{iso}(H)$ values equal to $1.2U_{eq}(C)$. The water H atoms were not introduced. The highest peak was 0.45 Å from atom O3, while the deepest hole was 0.54 Å from atom Fe1.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: KENX (Sakai, 2002); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97, TEXSAN (Molecular Structure Corporation, 2001), KENX and ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976).

This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas (Nos. 10149248, 11136246 and 12023247, 'metal-assembled complexes') from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan. This work was partly supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 14340223) from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: OB1155). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Allen, F. H. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 380-388.
- Biner, M., Bürgi, H.-B., Ludi, A. & Röhr, C. (1992). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 114, 5179-5203.
- Borgarello, E., Kiwi, J., Pelizzetti, E., Visca, M. & Grätzel, M. (1981). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103, 6324-6329.
- Bruker (2001). SAINT (Version 6.22) and SMART (Version 5.625). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Fujita, I. & Kobayashi, H. (1972). J. Chem. Phys. 59, 2902–2908.
- Iguro, T., Ikeda, N. & Ohno, T. (1994). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 226, 203-211.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Marsh, R. E. (1995). Acta Cryst. B51, 897-907.
- Marsh, R. E. & Spek, A. L. (2001). Acta Cryst. B57, 800-805.
- Meyer, H.-J. & Pickardt, J. (1988). Acta Cryst. C44, 1715-1717.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (2001). TEXSAN. Version 1.11r1. MSC, 9009 New Trails Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Otsuka, T., Sekine, A., Fujigasaki, N., Ohashi, Y. & Kaizu, Y. (2001). Inorg. Chem. 40, 3406-3412.
- Otsuka, T., Takahashi, N., Fujigasaki, N., Sekine, A., Ohashi, Y. & Kaizu, Y. (1999). Inorg. Chem. 38, 1340-1347.
- Sakai, K. (2002). KENX. Graphical User Interface for SHELXL97. Tokyo University of Science, Japan.
- Sakai, K., Kizaki, Y., Tsubomura, T. & Matsumoto, K. (1993). J. Mol. Catal. 79, 141-152.
- Sakai, K. & Matsumoto, K. (1990). J. Mol. Catal. 62, 1-14.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Tamura, H., Ikeda, N., Iguro, T., Ohno, T. & Matsubayashi, G. (1996). Acta Cryst. C52, 1394-1399.